## WEBER COUNTY RATING AND RANKING CRITERIA PROGRAM YEAR 2007

Αŗ	pplicant:	Ranking:			
	of				
Re	equested CDBG \$	Total Score:			
	of 87				
То	tal Project Cost \$				
1.	Capacity of Grantee to Carry out the Gran	t. 5 Point Max			
	Half a point (.5) is given for every year that the grantee has performed grant administration (Ex: grantee has had				
	3 years of successful grant administration; receiving 1.5 points). The State Division of Housing and Community				
	·	ont Regional Council and a member of the Weber County			
	Planning staff will guarantee successful administr	ation.			
	☐ Successful Grant Administration: POINTS	Number of Years multiplied by .5 =			
	Unsuccessful Grant Administration:  POINTS	Number of Yearsmultiplied by <b>5</b> =			
	If the grantee has had unsuccessful gran	nt administration in the past, but can show improvement through			
	documentation of other contracts, they n	nay be awarded a total of .5 points.			
2.	Grantee CDBG Award History. 5 Point Ma	<u>x</u>			
	5 POINTS- No awarded grants within the last 5 funding cycles.	<ul><li>2 POINTS- No awarded grants within the last</li><li>2 funding cycles.</li></ul>			
	4 POINTS- No awarded grants within	1 POINT- No awarded grants within the last			
	the last 4 funding cycles.	year.			
	☐ 3 POINTS- No awarded grants within				
	the last 3 funding cycles.				
3.	Job Creation/Training. 5 Point Max				
	5 POINTS- Greater than 5 permanent	3 POINTS- 2 to 3 Permanent jobs			
	jobs created.	created.			
	4 POINTS- 3 to 4 Permanent jobs	2 POINTS- 1 Permanent job created.			
	created.	1 POINT- Social skills training created.			
		— 11 Onvi Osolai skiiis training created.			

4. Improvements to or Expansion of Housing Stock, New Housing Units Constructed,
Rehabilitated, or made Accessible to LMI Residents. 10 Point Max

	10 POINTS- Greater than 8 units.	4 POINTS- 3 to 4 units.
	8 POINTS- 7 to 8 units.	2 POINTS- 1 to 2 units.
	6 POINTS- 5 to 6 units.	
5.	Madarata Incomo Hausing Blan Implementati	ion & Doint May
J.	Moderate Income Housing Plan Implementati	
	☐ 5 POINTS- Adopted an Affordable Housing I	Plan AND this project addresses an element of the Plan
	3 POINTS- Adopted an Affordable Housing I	Plan; this project is not addressed in the Plan.
	1 POINT- Prepared an Affordable Housing F	Plan; the Plan has not been adopted.
6.	National Objective Compliance. 25 Point Max	<u>c.</u>
	Identify the percentage of Low to Moderate Income B	eneficiaries that are:
	<u>Moderate Income</u> (family household income is <u>80°</u>	<u>% or less</u> than the county median income)
	7 POINTS- Greater than 81% (ID%	5 POINTS- 41-50% ( <u>ID%</u>
	)	)
	6.5 POINTS- 71-80% ( <u>ID%</u>	4.5 POINTS- 31-40% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	)	4 POINTS- 21-30% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	☐ 6 POINTS- 61-70% ( <u>ID%</u>	3.5 POINTS- 11-20% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	<u>)</u>	3 POINTS- 10% or less (ID%
	☐ 5.5 POINTS- 51-60% ( <u>ID%</u>	)
	Low Income (family household income is 50% or I	less than the county median income)
	9 POINTS- Greater than 71% (ID%	5.5 POINTS- 31-40% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	)	5 POINTS- 21-30% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	8 POINTS- 61-70% ( <u>ID%</u>	4.5 POINT- 11-20% ( <u>ID%</u> )
	<u>)</u>	4 POINTS- 10% or less (ID%
	☐ 7 POINTS- 51-60% (ID%	)
	)	
	6 POINTS- 41-50% (ID%	
	Very Low Income (family household income is 30°	% or less than the county median income)
	11 POINTS- Greater than 61% (ID%	9 POINTS- 41-60% (ID%
	)	)
	10 POINTS- 51-70% ( <u>ID%</u>	8 POINTS- 31-50% (ID%
	)	)
		7 POINTS- 21-40% (ID% )

	6 POINTS- 11-20% ( <u>ID%</u> )	5 POINTS- 10% or less (ID%	
		)	
	<u>Presumed</u> LMI Beneficiaries (Project specifically serves CDBG presumed LMI persons, i.e. abused children,		
	battered spouses, migrant farm workers, elderly (62+), illiterate, homeless, persons living with AIDS, severely		
	disabled).		
	☐ 25 POINTS-90%-100% ( <u>ID%</u> )	☐ 10 POINTS- 60-69% ( <u>ID%</u> )	
	☐ 20 POINTS- 80-89% ( <u>ID%</u>	5 POINTS- 50-59% ( <u>ID%</u> )	
	)		
	☐ 15 POINTS- 70-79% ( <u>ID%</u>		
	)		
c D	Flimingsian of Clum and/or Plinks Funcinta assessed	As any unabled in this actions.	
ρB	. Elimination of Slum and/or Blight. 5 points awarded	to any project in this category.	
6C	. Urgent Health and Welfare Needs. 15 points awarde	d to any project in this category.	
_			
7.	Matching Dollar Contribution. 20 Point Max		
	Population is 5,000 persons or less (population:% multiplied by 20 points.	). Percent of matching funds	
	Population is 5,000-10,000 persons (population:% multiplied by 15 points.	). Percent of matching funds	
	Population is 10,000 persons or more (population:% multiplied by 10 points.	). Percent of matching funds	
	Service Provider, Non-profit organization Match (the perce on the CDBG project identified in the pre-application; this can program).		
	11 POINTS- 70% or more ( <u>ID%</u>	5 POINTS- 11-30% ( <u>ID%</u>	
	).	).	
	9 POINTS- 51-70% ( <u>ID%</u>	3 POINTS- 10% or less (ID%	
	).	).	
	7 POINTS- 31-50% ( <u>ID%</u>		
	).		
8.	Project Maturity. 5 Point Max		
	1 POINT- Clear concise project scope of work.		
	1 POINT- If Construction Project, all is included in the	e application: (1) evidence that the engineer or	
architect has been procured, (2) detailed engineer/architect's cost estimate, (3) site plan, (4) map of area.			
	1 POINT- If Non-Construction Project, all is included map of area, (3) pictures.	in the application: (1) detailed cost estimate, (2)	

L	☐ 1 POINT- Evidence that all matching funds have been secured.			
	.5 POINT- Matching funds are co application.	mmitted but there is no evidence that they are secured in the		
		anager will play the major role in the preparation of the preliminary and orkshops, handles the contracts, and will be the main contact person.		
9. <u>Succ</u>	essful Participation in the "Qu	ality Growth Communities" Program. 2 Point Max		
[	.5 POINTS- Demonstrate local re with other governments.	sponsibility for planning and land-use in coordination and cooperation		
	5 POINTS- Uses efficient infrastructure development including water and energy conservation methods.			
	5 POINTS- Housing opportunity	and affordability has been incorporated into community planning.		
	·	ection and conservation of water, air, critical lands, important esources in their general/community plan.		
10. <u>The F</u>	Proposed Project is in Conform	nance with the Weber County Consolidated Plan. 4 Point		
<u>Ma</u>	<u>x</u>			
[	4 POINTS- the project has is a "h priority.	igh" 2 POINTS- the project has a "low" priority.		
	3 POINTS- the project has a "med priority.	dium"		
11. <u>The /</u>	Applicant is a Service Provider	Non-Profit Organization Located within a CDBG		
<u>"Er</u>	ntitlement" City. 1 Point Max			
These projects will be considered for funding on a case by case basis if the grantee has made application within the entitlement city at the time the application is received by the Wasatch Front Regional Council.				
		ider is located in an entitlement city, but the grantee can demonstrate re located outside the entitlement city.		